

Bird&Bird

The Unitary Patent (UP) & Unified Patent Court (UPC)

The Opt-Out Guide

June 2025



Unified Patent Court: The opt-out

Unified Patent Court (UPC): Competence

- As a rule, the UPC has jurisdiction over infringement, invalidity and other matters for all Unitary Patents (UP) as well as for all “traditional” European Patents (EP) for most of the EU (i.e., for all member states of the Unitary Patent Court Agreement (UPCA)).
- For a transitional period of 7 years, possibly extending to up to another 7 years, jurisdiction of national courts over traditional European Patents (EPs) continues. Within this transitional period both the UPC and national courts have jurisdiction over traditional European Patents (EPs).
- However, within this transitional period it is possible to opt out EPs from the UPC system, which means that the UPC does not have jurisdiction over them: the jurisdiction exclusively remains with the national courts for the opted-out EPs.

Opt-out: Essentials

- Applicable only to EPs (published applications, granted patents, expired patents). UPs cannot be opted out.
- Opt-out only possible as long as no action has been brought before the UPC.
- An application to opt out must be filed with the Registry of the UPC. The opt-out only becomes effective from the date of entry of the opt-out in the opt-out register. If certain information is missing or is incorrect in the opt-out application, a correction may be lodged with the Registry but the opt-out is ineffective until the date the correction is recorded in the Registry.
- An opt-out can be withdrawn – but only once. It is not possible to opt out for a second time.
- According to the UPC Preparatory Committee, an opt-out that was registered in the transitional period remains effective (subject to any withdrawal) after the end of the transitional period for the remaining lifetime of the patent.
- The application for opt-out must be filed by the patent proprietor (or applicant) or his representative. Where a patent is owned by two or more proprietors, all proprietors must lodge the application jointly. Where the proprietor is not recorded as the proprietor in the national or EPO registers, a declaration is to be filed that he is entitled to be registered in the national patent register.

Various options now for applicants and patent owners

UP	Traditional EP (without opt-out)	Traditional EP (with opt-out)	National patent
UP only available for European patents granted on or after 1 June 2023, i.e., after the start of the UPC system.	Traditional EP without opt-out possible (i) for all European patents which have been granted before or after the start of the UPC system, and (ii) for all pending applications. <u>Note:</u> This is the default case for all European patents already granted at the start of the UPC system or thereafter.	Traditional EP with opt-out possible (i) for all European patents which have been granted before or after the start of the UPC system, and (ii) for all published pending applications. However, only during the transitional period of at least 7 and up to 14 years.	National patent applications can still be filed in addition/parallel to European patent applications. Possible national double protection limitations to be considered, which vary by country.
Single application process and single right granted by EPO covering most of EU (currently 18 and up to 24 countries).	Single application process leading to grant decision by EPO for all EPC countries (39 in Europe), allowing applicant to validate in countries of choice.	As for traditional EP without opt-out.	Separate application process and grant decision in each country's own patent office.
Exclusive jurisdiction of the UPC.	Parallel jurisdiction of UPC and national courts during transitional period of 7-14 years. Thereafter exclusive jurisdiction of the UPC.	Exclusive jurisdiction of national courts during transitional period of 7-14 years. Thereafter opt out not possible anymore.	Exclusive jurisdiction of national courts.
Expected to be cheaper than validating an EP in many countries but commit to UP-scale of fees for life of patent.	Cost of validating in many countries more expensive than UP but can allow patents to lapse in countries that become unimportant over life of patent.	As for traditional EP without opt-out.	Cost of maintaining patent in many countries likely to exceed that of a UP.
Minimal translation requirements.	Translation requirements for validation vary by country.	As for traditional EP without opt-out.	Patents generally prosecuted and granted in national languages.

What must applicants and patent owners do?

What to do with already granted EP patents and pending EP applications? Opting out or not?

Various factors to be considered for this decision:

- If the patent or patent application covers very important technology and/or is highly relevant for possible infringement actions, a UPC-wide decision on infringement could be obtained by using the UPC system at lower costs (compared to traditional multijurisdictional actions).
- However, in such a case there is also the risk of a UPC-wide revocation of the patent (which of course is also the case if an EPO opposition can still be filed).
- Another important aspect is the strength of a patent. The stronger the patent from a validity perspective (for example after it survived an EPO opposition), the lower the risk might be for a revocation by the UPC.
- The opt-out can be withdrawn. Consequently, there is the option of opting out a patent first and withdrawing the opt-out only if an infringement action before the UPC is intended.
- **In summary:** If not already done, it needs to be decided whether your existing portfolio is to be opted-out or not. Decision can be taken for the portfolio as a whole or on a case-by-case basis for each patent separately. The same applies to patents newly granted.

Further Considerations:

- In general, the more parallel protective rights exist (within the UPC regime and outside of the UPC regime), the more options are available for the patentee.
- If opt-out is intended:
 - getting consent of possible further co-applicants or co-proprietors
 - checking whether the correct applicants or proprietors are recorded in the national or EP registers
- If a licence for a patent exists (as a licensee or as a licensor): reviewing the licence agreement with respect to possible provisions on the UPC; agreeing with the licensee or licensor on a strategy for the patent (opt-out yes/no) if required.

What to do with newly granted EP patents: UP validation yes or no?

The cost aspect:

- The renewal fees of the UP correspond approximately to the sum of 4 national renewal fees, i.e., renewal fees can be saved if traditional validation of the patent is intended in more than 4 countries.
- Traditional EP allows patents to lapse in countries that become unimportant over life of patent (thereby saving renewals over the complete lifetime of the patent). For the UP, you are bound to the full fees for the life of patent.

Further Considerations:

- The UPC has exclusive jurisdiction over UPs. No opt-out possible. Accordingly, the same considerations in favour and against the UPC system as for considering opt out of EPs apply (see above).
- It seems (but it has not been finally decided yet) that unitary protection is only possible for patents with a filing date of 1 March 2007 or later, since Malta only then joined the European patent convention. This might particularly be relevant for older divisional applications which are only granted now.

New or modified filing strategy in Europe?

Considerations regarding European patent applications:

- Filing of divisional applications to be considered: opting out the parent patent, while not opting-out the divisional application (double patenting prohibition according to the EPO to be considered (see e.g., decision G 4/19)).
- Branching-off national utility models, where possible.

Additional filing of national patent applications:

- National patent applications can be filed in addition to European patent applications.
- National court will keep exclusive jurisdiction over national patents – even after expiry of the transitional period.
- Additional costs when prosecuting EP patent applications and a set of parallel national patent applications.
- **In summary:** The applicants/proprietors can choose which court system (UPC vs. national courts) to be used – even after the end of the transitional period – but at the expense of additional costs for prosecuting and maintaining national patents/patent applications.

Comprehensive UPC Coverage Across Europe and Unmatched Support

With 21 offices strategically located throughout Europe's major economies and a presence in all key UPC division jurisdictions, we are uniquely positioned to support your intellectual property needs under the Unitary Patent and the UPC system.

Our experienced team specialises in providing practical and commercial guidance to help future-proof your IP portfolio in this evolving landscape. Each of our experts brings deep sector-specific knowledge and understands the intricacies of different technologies.

Contact our central team below to connect with a specialist who has in-depth expertise in your particular field and can provide tailored advice for your business needs.



Juliet Hibbert

UPC Knowledge Lawyer

juliet.hibbert@twobirds.com



Karla Hawkins

BD Manager: IP

karla.hawkins@twobirds.com



twobirds.com

Abu Dhabi • Amsterdam • Beijing • Bratislava • Brussels • Budapest • Casablanca • Copenhagen • Dubai • Dublin • Dusseldorf • Frankfurt • The Hague • Hamburg • Helsinki • Hong Kong • London • Lyon • Madrid • Milan • Munich • Paris • Prague • Rome • San Francisco • Shanghai • Shenzhen • Singapore • Stockholm • Sydney • Tokyo • Warsaw

The information given in this document concerning technical legal or professional subject matter is for guidance only and does not constitute legal or professional advice. Always consult a suitably qualified lawyer on any specific legal problem or matter. Bird & Bird assumes no responsibility for such information contained in this document and disclaims all liability in respect of such information.

Bird & Bird is, unless otherwise stated, the owner of copyright of this document and its contents. No part of this document may be published, distributed, extracted, re-utilised, or reproduced in any material form.

Bird & Bird is an international legal practice comprising Bird & Bird LLP and its affiliated and associated businesses.

Bird & Bird LLP is a limited liability partnership, registered in England and Wales with registered number OC340318 and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) with SRA ID497264. Its registered office and principal place of business is at 12 New Fetter Lane, London EC4A 1JP. A list of members of Bird & Bird LLP and of any non-members who are designated as partners, and of their respective professional qualifications, is open to inspection at that address.